1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	ORVILLE M. MORRIS,	No. C 17-5608 WHA (PR)
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER OF TRANSFER
11	vs.	
12 13 14 15 16	CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON – SACRAMENTO; NEW FOLSOM STATE PRISON; CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION; B. MCCULLOCH; D. ROTH; PELICAN BAY STATE PRISON,	(Dkt. No. 2, 9)
17	Defendants.	
18 19	asserts claims under 42 U.S.C 1983 against two officials at California State Prison ("CSP") –	
20	Sacramento for allegedly violating his rights there. He also names the California Department of	
21	Corrections and Rehabilitation ("CDCR"), New Folsom State Prison, CSP Sacramento, and	
22	Pelican Bay State Prison as defendants. The two individual defendants, the CDCR, New	
23	Folsom, and CSP Sacramento are located within the venue of the United States District Court	
24	for the Eastern District of California. Th	e events giving rise to the complaint took place in

Sacramento, which is also within the Eastern District. Plaintiff is not located within the venue of this district. As a result, the proper venue for this civil rights action is the Eastern District of California. See 28 U.S.C. 1391. Accordingly, this case is **Transferred** to the United States

District Court for the Eastern District of California. *See* 28 U.S.C. 1404(a), 1406(a). Ruling on plaintiff's motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis is deferred to the Eastern District.

The clerk shall transfer this matter forthwith.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October <u>30</u>, 2017.

